Work-related Factors of Chronic Low Back Pain among Nurses Working in Tehran Hospitals

Leila Ghadyani¹, Sedigheh Sadat Tavafian²*, Anoshirvan Kazemnejad³

Abstract

Aim: The objective of this study was to determine the relationship between chronic mechanical low back pain and work-related risky behaviors of nurses at hospitals in Tehran.

Methods: In order to determine the relationship between chronic mechanical low back pain and work-related risky behaviors of nurses, a cross-sectional was conducted among nurses in general hospitals in Tehran- Iran from April 17, 2014 to July 16, 2014. Five hundred eligible nurses, who were working in the different wards of under study hospitals, were included in this study. A researcher- designed 50 - item questionnaire was used to collect data. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient (alpha=0.91), and test-retest evaluation (ICC=0.94) of the scale confirmed reliability of the questionnaire. Data were analyzed using SPSS.v16 through descriptive and analytic tests. P-value < 0.05 was considered significant in both analyses.

Findings: In total, five hundred nurses with mean age of 37.71+ 6.75 years took part in the study. 168 nurses (33.6%) were male and 332 nurses were (64.4%) female. The majority of nurses who were working more than 15 years (75%) were suffering from low back pain. Low back pain was more prevalent among female nurses (68%) compared to male nurses (32%). Most participants believed that excessive physical tension and hard work at their worksite were the causes of their chronic low back pain. There were no significant differences between two genders in this regard (P>0.5). In contrast with men, the majority of females (N= 222, 66.8%) believed that psychological tensions at work have been the reasons for their chronic low back pain.

Conclusion: Iranian nurses believed that work – related physical and psychosocial factors could result in low back pain.

Keywords: Low Back Pain, Nurses, Work-related factor, Hospital, Iran

^{1.} Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Health Education & Health Promotion, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran Email: 1.ghadyani@modares.ac.ir

^{2.} Associated Professor, Department of Health Education & Health Promotion, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran Email: tavafian@modares.ac.ir

^{3.} Professor, Department of Statistics, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran Email: kazem_an@modares.ac.ir

Introduction

Chronic Low back pain (cLBP) is one of the most prevalent musculoskeletal problems. It affects almost everyone during their lifetime and has become a major socioeconomic problem in western countries [1]. It is reported that the 80% of individuals, at least once, experienced low back pain (LBP) in their lifetime [2]. Some research in Iran has shown that more than half of the Iranian nurses suffer from low back pain [3, 4].

The epidemiological studies provide evidence that the prevalence of cLBP and disability has been increased and accounts for approximately 29 - 70% of all disorders in nurses and other health care workers [5, 6]. According to a study, 91% of work related low back pain were shown among physiotherapists [7] and the lifetime prevalence of LBP was found to be slightly higher - varying between 56% and 90among nurses [8, 9]

Low back pain is a well-recognized cause of morbidity in the industrialized world, where several studies [9, 10] have reported the occurrence of LBP in general population and occupational settings [11, 12]. LBP is a common cause of morbidity in health care workers. Nurses are among the occupational groups within the health service that are vulnerable to LBP [13].

According to Cesena and colleagues [14], mechanical hazards in the hospitals include LBP,

from manual lifting (patients in particular), which makes nursing as one of the occupations most affected by LBP. Describing the extent of musculoskeletal injury among nurses, the surveys showed that nurses lost 750,000 days a year as a result of back pain [15].

Previous researchers [13] stated that LBP is the most common cause of early retirement on ground of ill health, sickness absence, job changes and a fall in the work speed among the working population. In addition to individual and psychosocial variables such as age, gender, physical status, smoking workplace stress [16, 17] ,main ergonomic factors that endanger nurses to develop LBP include awkward postures, carrying and repositioning patients, prolonged standing, and working without sufficient breaks [9, 18].

Most popular strategies in management and prevention of LBP in healthcare facilities focus on work-related interventions, which often include ergonomic interventions and also exercise; however, the role of psychosocial factors, has not been fully highlighted in preventive measures [19] Nurses who work in developed countries often participate periodic regular health inspections and are being assessed in terms of occupational safety and health. In developing countries, access to such services is often overlooked probably because of low economic resources [20-22]. It seems that Iran is in the latter group. In developing nations, nurses are required to lift and transport patients or equipment's in a very challenging context, where lifting aids are always not available or even faulty. Therefore this study aimed to explore work-related factors of chronic low back pain among nurses, who were working in Tehran hospitals.

Material and method

This cross-sectional study was designed and conducted to determine the relationship between chronic mechanical low back pain and work-related risky behaviors of nurses, who working in Tehran hospitals, from April 17, 2014 to July 16, 2014.

In all, 500 eligible nurses, who were working in Tehran hospitals, agreed to take part into this study. First, 10 hospitals were randomly selected in different geographical regions of Tehran. Then, from each hospital fifty eligible nurses, who were working in different wards, were randomly selected. The inclusion criteria included being volunteer to take part in the study, being 18 year old or more, suffering from chronic low back pain for more than 90 days. However, nurses who had spine surgery within the past 2 years and suffering from diseases such as neck pain, congenital abnormalities of the spine, back inflammation and tumors of the spine, being pregnant and getting as well as those who incompletely completed research questionnaire

were excluded from the study.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 16 (SPSS Inc., USA). Chi square was used to determine the association between independent variables and chronic low back pain risky behaviors.

The questionnaire consisted of two sections. Section one was specified for personal and demographic characteristics. The second section included questions about LBP and some work -related factors. These factors included excessive physical tension /hard work at worksite, excessive psychological tension at worksite and excessive social tension at worksite. The demographic questions dealt with socio-economic characteristics, employment/working status, lower back pain characteristics, and healthy preventive behaviors due to back pain.

Administration of the instrument

The investigators administered the questionnaires to 500 nurses, who volunteered to participate in the study. The questionnaires were retrieved as soon as they were fully completed.

Data analysis

The coded responses on the questionnaire were then entered on the computer general purpose coding forms. They were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (Windows Version 16.0 Chicago IL,

USA). The results were presented with the use of simple percentage (%) to show the distribution of the variables, mean and standard deviation (SD) to show the mean score of the variables. Chi square (X2) was used to determine the association between nonparametric variables. A probability level of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significance.

Results

In total, 500 nurses, who were suffering from chronic low back pain with mean age of 37.71+ 6.75 years, took part in this study. 332 (66.4%) of them were female with mean age of 28-38 year old and 168(33.6%) were male with mean age of 28-38 year old. Table 1 shows the other demographic characteristics of the participants.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics on general characteristics of study participants (n=500)

	Fei	Female		ale	Total	
Socio-demographic factors	N	(%)	N	(%)	N (%)	p value* (χ²)
Age (years)	•					1
18-28	29	56.9	22	43.1	51(10.2)	
28-38	149	64.8	81	35.2	230(46)	
38-48	143	74.9	48	25.1	191(38.2)	0.308
48-58	11	42.3	15	57.7	26(5.2)	
≥58	0	0	2	100	2 (0.4)	
Education						
Bachelor' Degree	213	77.7	61	22.3	274(54.8)	
Associate's Degree	53	54.6	44	45.4	97(19.4)	< 0.001
Diploma Degree	66	51.2	63	48.8	129(25.8)	
BMI**						
Underweight	12	80	3	20	15(3)	
Normal	157	62.8	93	37.2	250(52)	
Overweight	124	66	64	34	188(37.6)	0.025
Overweight 1	29	78.4	8	21.6	37(7.4)	0.023
Overweight 2	5	100	0	0	0(0)	
Overweight 3	4	100	0	0	0(0)	
Exercise/Games activities						
Yes	50	66.7	25	33.3	75(15)	0.05
No	282	66.4	143	33.6	425(85)	
Total work experience (years)						
≤ 5	70	61.4	44	36.6	114(22.8)	
5-10	104	66.2	53	33.8	157(31.4)	0.314
10-15	144	72.7	54	27.3	198(39.6)	0.314
≥10	14	45.2	17	54.8	31(6.2)	
Duration of CLBP						
≤ 5	253	68.5	117	31.6	370(74)	
5-10	69	64.5	38	35.5	107(21.4)	0.018
10-15	10	43.5	13	56.5	23(4.6)	
LBP with Sciatica						
Yes	90	73	33	26.8	123(24.6)	0.016
No	242	64.2	135	35.8	377(75.4)	0.010
Treatment for LBP						
Yes	99	70.3	42	29.8	141(28.2)	0.045
No	233	64.9	126	35.1	359(71.8)	0.043
Educated for LBP prevention	•					
Yes	79	64.2	44	35.8	123(24.6)	0.272
No	251	66.9	124	33.1	375((75.4)	

Studied participants' beliefs regarding work-related factors that contribute to the occurrence of CLBP are shown in Table 2. As it is shown in table 2, the majority of studied female and male nurses believed that the excessive physical tension or hard work at their worksite was the cause of their CLBP. There were no significant differences between two genders in this regard (P= 0.258). Moreover, 66.8% of female nurses

(n= 222) believed that psychological tensions at work had been the reasons for their CLBP. However the minority of men expressed psychological forces at work as the reason of their LBP. There were significant differences between two genders in this regard (P <0.001). Differences between both genders regarding association between social tension at works and CLBP are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Studied participants' beliefs regarding work factors that contributing to the occurrence of LBP (n=500)

Causes of CLBP	Female		Male		Total	
Excessive physical tension /hard work at worksite	N	%	N	%	N (%)	p value* (χ²)
Yes, I Completely believe	165	68.2	77	31.8	242(48.4)	
Yes, I believe	117	63.9	66	36.1	183(36.6)	
I almost believe	42	70	18	30	60(12)	0.258
I less believe	8	53.3	7	46.7	15(3)	- - -
Not at all, I do not believe	0	0	0	0	0(0)	
Excessive Psychological tension at worksite						
Yes, I Completely believe	104	83.2	21	16.8	125(25)	<0.001
Yes, I believe	118	65.9	61	34.1	179(35.8)	
I almost believe	77	53.8	66	46.2	143(28.6)	
I less believe	27	65.9	14	34.1	41(8.2)	
Not at all, I do not believe	6	50	6	50	12(2.4)	
Excessive social tension at worksite						
Yes, I Completely believe	72	72.7	27	27.3	99(19.8)	-
Yes, I believe	102	71.8	40	28.2	142(28.4)	
I almost believe	72	67.3	35	32.7	107(21.4)	0.002
I less believe	33	52.4	30	47.6	63(12.6)	1
Not at all, I do not believe	53	59.6	36	40.4	89(17.8)	

 $^{*\}chi^2$ test to explore significant differences between men and women beliefs regarding work – related factors of associated factors low back pain. Significant at level of <0.05

Discussion

LBP is a very common health problem. About, 70-85% adults indicated that they had experienced at least one episode of LBP in former times during their life [12]. The direct and indirect costs of LBP, in terms of quality of life, productivity and employee absenteeism

are considerable and make this common condition the single largest contributor to musculoskeletal disabilities worldwide [13]. LBP is associated with multiple risk factors, including sex, age, lifestyle and psychosocial profile, physical demands at workplace, social support, and pain perception [4]. Hospital

workers seem to have higher rates of LBP compared with the general population because physical and emotional factors are permanently present in their occupational worksite.

In this study, the majority of studied men and women believed that their hard work in workplace is the reason for their back injuries. Considering anatomical, physiological and structural differences between males and females, sprain and strain are more common in females than males [23, 24]. Back muscles weakness, low back sprain and strain have been implicated as causes of LBP somewhere else [15, 25, 26].

The findings of this study revealed that men were less blamed work – related psychological factors. In contrast, the majority of studied women in the present study stated that work related psychological stress would be one of main causes of their chronic low back pain. This finding is in the line with previous studies which reported different opinions of men and women regarding psychological factors in worksite environment which resulted in their low back pain However, there are opposite findings in some other studies too. In them the relationship between psychosocial tension and muscular skeletal disorder among males [1, 20, 25, 26] and women [26-28] was similar.

The present study showed that the majority of females in contrast to men believed that social and interpersonal relationships in their workplace

had been leading to their prolonged low back pain. This difference between men and women may be because of different organizational and social supporting structures for different genders in Iranian work places. A previous study also reported the effects of different social environment for different genders, which could affect their health and morbidity [29]. The other study argued that different bio psycho social factors in work environment may cause work related occupational problems that may be different between two genders [30]. According to these results, providing socially supportive worksites for Iranian nurses, to prevent their low back pain, is strongly recommended.

In present study, BMI was identified as one of the significant positive associated factors with LBP complaints among nurses. This result is consistent with that of other researchers [31], who reported that BMI was one of anthropometric factors associated with LBP among nurses. These researchers also reported other factors like age, female sex, extra professional activities, duration of employment, and heavy weight lifting could affect low back pain. In consistent with previous study [22-33] this study revealed a significant positive association between low back pain and duration of being nursing staff respectively. Furthermore, this finding is in the line with what was reported in the study, which was conducted by Byrns and colleagues [34]. However, the other researchers did not confirm this relationship [35].

The study revealed the beliefs of Iranian nurses regarding the work related low back pain factors. Accordingly, the women more than the men, believed that psychosocial stress in worksites might affect their low back pain. In addition, the majority of men and women believed that physical stresses in their work place had most impact on their low back pain.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the research deputy of Tarbiat Modares University for financial support of this study. We also would like to thank the nursing staff of the hospitals in Tehran who cooperated with the investigators.

References

- Woolf AD, Pfleger B. Burden of major musculoskeletal conditions. Bull World Health Organ 2003; 81: 646-56.
- French P1, Flora LF, Ping LS, Bo LK, Rita WH. The prevalence and cause of occupational back pain in Hong Kong registered nurses. J Adv Nurs 1997; 26(2): 380-8.
- Sadeghian F, Javanmard M, Khosravi A, Adelnia S. An epidemiological survey of Low back pain and its relationship with occupational and personal factors among nursing personnel at hospitals of Shahrood Faculty of Medical Sciences. ISMJ 2005;

8(1): 75-82.

- Sharifnia SH, Qorbani M, Hajihoseini F, Nazari R, Hojati H. The Relationship of Low Back Pain with Psychosocial Factors and Psychological Stress in Nurses in Amol Hospitals. Knowledge & Health 2010; 4(4): 27-33.
- 5. Meier E. Ergonomic standard and implication for nursing. Nurs Econ 2000; 9(1): 31-2.
- Smith DR, Choe MA, Jeon MY, Chae YR, An GJ, J eong JS. Epidemiology of musculoskeletal symptoms among Korean hospital nurses. Int J Occup Saf Ergon 2005; 11(4): 431-40.
- Jean E, Valma J, Margaret O. Work -related musculoskeletal disorders in prevalence, severity, risks, and responses. Phy Ther 2000; 80(4): 336-51.
- 8. Knibbe JJ, Friele RD. Prevalence of back pain and characteristics of the physical workload of community nurses. Ergonomics 1996; 39: 186-98.
- 9. Smedley J, Egger P, Cooper C, Coggon D. Manual handling activities and risks of low back pain in nurses. Occup Environ Med 1995; 52(3): 160-3.
- 10. Picavet HS, Schoiten JS, Smit HA.

 Prevalence and consequences of low back problems in the Netherlands working Vs non working population, the Morgan study monitoring project on risk factors for

- - chronic disease. Public Health 1999; 113: 73-77.
- 11. Rotgoltz J, Derazne E, Froom P, Grushecky E, Ribak J. Prevalence of low back pain in employees of a pharmaceutical company in Israel. J Med Sc 1992; 28: 615-8.
- 12. Hignett S. Work related back pain in nurses. J Adv Nurs 1996; 23: 1238-46.
- 13. Cunninham C, Flynn T, Blake C. Low back pain and occupation among irish health service workers. Occup Med (Lond) 2006; 56(7): 447-54.
- 14. Cesana G, Arduca A, Latocca R, Sirtori G. Risk evaluation and health surveillance in hospitals: A critical review and contribution regarding experience obtained at Garardo dei Tintori Hospital in Monza. Med Lav 1998; 89(1): 23-46.
- 15. Triolo PK. Occupational health hazard of hospital staff nurses. Part II Physical, chemical and biological stressors. AAOHN J 1988; 37(7): 274-9.
- 16.da Costa BR, Vieira ER. Risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders: A systematic review of recent longitudinal studies. Am J Ind Med 2010; 53(3): 285-323.
- 17. Freimann T, Coggon D, Merisalu E, Animagi L, Paasuke M. Risk factors for musculoskeletal pain amongst nurses in Estonia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2013; 14: 334.
- 18. Harber P, Billet E, Gutowski M, SooHoo

- K, Lew M, Roman A. Occupational lowback pain in hospital nurses. J Occup Med 1985; 27(7): 518-24.
- 19. Pillastrini P, Bonfiglioli R, Banchelli F, Capra F, Resende Fde L, Villafane JH, Vanti C, Violante FS. The effect of a multimodal group programme in hospital workers with persistent low back pain: a prospective observational study. Med Lav 2013; 104(5): 380-92.
- 20.de Castro AB, Cabrera SL, Gee GC, Fujishiro K, Tagalog EA. Occupational health and safety issues among nurses in the Philippines. AAOHN J 2009; 57(4): 149-57.
- 21. Kamchuchat C, Chongsuvivatwong Oncheunjit S, Yip TW, Sangthong R. Workplace violence directed at nursing staff at a general hospital in southern Thailand. J Occup Health 2008; 50(2): 201-7.
- 22. Shahhosseini Z, Simbar M, Ramezankhani A, Majd H. An inventory for assessment of the health needs of Iranian female adolescents. East Mediterr Health J 2012; 18(8): 850-6.
- 23. Lawshe CH. A quantitative approach to content validity1. Personnel Psychology 1975; 28(4): 563-75.
- 24. Wynd CA, Schmidt B, Schaefer MA. Two quantitative approaches for estimating content validity. Western Journal of Nursing Research 2003; 25(5): 508-18.

- 25. Charlotte ER, Stuart GP. Molecular genetics and age related disease. Age and Aging 2001; 30: 449-54.
- 26. Bernard BP. Musculoskeletal disorders and workplace factors: A critical review of epidemiologic evidence for work-related musculoskeletal disorders of the neck, upper extremity, and low back. Cincinnati: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), 1997; p: 97-141.
- 27. Ofili AN, Sogbesan S. Occupational hazards among student nurses at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City Edo State Nigeria, Africa. Journal of Nursing and Midwifery 2002; 4(1): 15-9.
- 28. Engels JA, Vander Gulden JW, Senden TF, VantHof B. Work related risk factors for musculoskeletal complaints in the nursing profession: results of a questionnaire survey. Occup Environ Med 1996; 53: 636-41.
- 29. Lagerstrom M, Hansson T, Hagberg M. Work related low back pain problems in nursing. Scand J Work Environ Health 1998; 6: 449-64.
- 30.Levy BS, Wegman DH. Occupational Health: recognizing and preventing work related disease and injury, selected groups of workers, 4th ed. Lippincott Williams &

- Wilkins, 2000; p: 770-1.
- 31. Rossi A, Marino G, Barbieri L, Borrelli A, Onofri C, Rolli M, Baldi R. Backache from exertion in health personnel of the Istituti Ortopedici Rizzoli in Bologna. a casecontrol study of the injury phenomenon in the 10-year period of 1987-1996. Epidemiol Prev 1999; 23(2): 98-104.
- 32. Mandel JH, Lohman W. Low back pain in nurses the relative importance of medical history, work factors, exercise and demographics. Res Nurs Health 1987; 10: 165-70.
- 33. Estyn-Behar M, Kaminski M, Peigne E, Maillard MF, Pelletier A, Berthier C, Delaporte MF, Paoli MC, Leroux JM. Strenuous working conditions and musculo-skeletal disorders among female hospital workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 1990; 62(1): 47-57.
- 34. Byrns G, Reeder G, Jin G, Pachis K, Risk factors for work-related low back pain in registered nurses, and potential obstacles in using mechanical lifting devices. J Occup Environ Hyg 2004; 1: 11-21.
- 35. Nachemson A. Towards a better understanding of low back pain: A review of the mechanics of the lumbar disc. Rheumatology and Rehabilitation 2000; 14: 129-43.